Annex No. 2

to the Resolution No. 3467

of the Senate of the University of Bialystok

dated December 18, 2024

**……………………………………………………………………..**

**Candidate’s name and surname**

**candidate questionnaire**

**for the Doctoral School of the University of Bialystok in the scientific discipline of:**

**Mathematics/Biological Sciences/Chemical Sciences/Physical Sciences1**

Academic title, academic degree, name and surname of the Master’s thesis’s supervisor:

………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………..

Academic title, academic degree, name and surname of the potential supervisor of the doctoral dissertation:

………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………….

…………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Item** | **Criterion** | **Document number** | **To be filled in by the candidate** | **A number of points awarded by the Commission** | **Maximum number of points** |
| **STAGE I** |
| **1.** | **Average grade received during first-cycle and second-cycle or long-cycle master’s degree studies** (as indicated in the supplement to the diploma) |  | first-cycle studies | second-cycle studies | long-cycle Master’s degree studies |  | **10** |
|  |  |  |
| **2.** | **Documented scientific activity**  | **28** |
| a) | Reviewed scientific publications, published or accepted for publishing (max. 4)* monographs or chapters in monographs
* articles in journals
* Internet publications
 |  |  |  | **10** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| b) | Active participation in scientific conferences and seminars, e.g. presentations, posters (max. 4) |  |  |  | **4** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| c) | Participation in competitive research projects, e.g. NCN, NCBiR (max. 2) |  |  |  | **2** |
|  |  |  |
| d) | awards or scholarships granted by a competent minister of higher education and science, other science/research scholarships granted by institutions other than the candidate’s alma mater, and a diploma of graduation with distinction (max. 2) |  |  |  | **8** |
|  |  |  |
| e) | awards or honours for the diploma thesis and/or activities related to scientific research (max. 2) |  |  |  | **4** |
|  |  |  |
| **3.** | **Other documented scientific and organizational achievements of the candidate**  | **12** |
| a) | International scholarship, e.g. Erasmus+, MOST, scientific internships (not covered by the study programme), schools organized by higher education institutions or research centers, completion of postgraduate study.Participation lasting longer than a week is recognized as participation in the school. An internship is understood as a scientific stay at another scientific centre/university lasting more than a week.(max. 1) |  |  |  | **2** |
| b) | proficiency in modern foreign languages at least at C1 level (max. 2) |  |  |  | 4 |
|  |  |  |
| c) | Other scientific activity: - activity in a student research group- candidate’s activity in popularization of science – participation in workshops and trainings enhancing skills and competences obtained by the candidate in the education process. (max. 6) |  |  |  | **6** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| **Total points for stage I** |  | **50** |

*Candidate’s legible signature Legible signature of the Commission Chairman*

.............................................................. ...................................................................................

|  |
| --- |
| **STAGE II** |
| **Qualification interview – presentation of the candidate’s research project proposal and assessment of the candidate’s general understanding of the scientific discipline related to their future doctoral dissertation** |
| 1 | assessment of the candidates’ research project proposal including evaluation of their understanding of the relevant subject matter |  | **10** |
| 2 | knowledge of subject literature and key scientific achievements in the selected scientific discipline, as well as proper use of specialized terminology |  | **10** |
| 3 | quality of the presentation and the ability to present arguments, communicate, and compose a coherent statement |  | **10** |
| **Total points for stage II** |  | **30** |
| **Total points awarded (stage I + stage II)** |  | **80** |

*Legible signature of the Commission Chairman*

**………………………………………………………………………….**

\*The following documents should be attached to the questionnaire:

1. a candidate’s questionnaire listing their scientific achievements, competed in accordance with the template provided in the IRK system, as outlined in Annex 1 to this Resolution if the candidate is applying to the Doctoral School in the scientific discipline of: philosophy/history/linguistics/literature studies/economics and finance/legal sciences/sociological sciences/pedagogy/international relations, or alternatively, the template in Annex 2 to this Resolution if the candidate is applying for admission to the Doctoral School in the scientific discipline of mathematics/biological sciences/chemical sciences/physical sciences.
2. a research project proposal with reference to the most important accomplishments in a given discipline (drafted in accordance with the template contained in Annex 3 to this Resolution),
3. a declaration of an academic teacher employed at the University of Bialystok confirming their consent to become the supervisor of the doctoral dissertation (drafted in accordance with the template contained in Annex 4 to this Resolution),
4. a copy of the graduation diploma certified either by the University (based on the submitted original document) or a notary, for first-cycle, second-cycle, or long-cycle master’s degree studies (including supplements); if the supplement does not contain an average study grade, the candidate should submit a certificate confirming such average grade issued by their alma mater,
5. documents numbered in accordance with Annex 1 or Annex 2 to this Resolution, confirming the candidate’s scientific accomplishments:
6. scientific publications referring to:
* monographs: xerocopies of title pages of reviewed published monographs, their contents, and editorial page (including the names of reviewers),
* a chapter in a monograph or in a collective monograph: xerocopies of title pages of the published monograph or collective monograph, their contents, editorial page (including the names of reviewers) and the first page of the chapter,
* an article: xerocopies of title pages of journals containing the published article, their contents and the first page of the article,
* Internet publications published in registered Internet journals assigned their own ISBN or ISSN number; the full link to the Internet resource should be provided,
* scientific publications accepted for publishing listed from the first to the third indent: a certificate issued by the publisher confirming the publication has been accepted for publishing, containing the information about a scheduled year of publication, author(s), title of the chapter, title of the monograph of collective monograph in which the text will be published, name of the volume editor, and name of the publisher; if articles have been submitted to a journal – the name of the journal should also be included,
1. copies of the following documents certified by the University of Bialystok based on submitted original documents:
* a copy of the certificate confirming active participation in scientific conferences or seminars signed by the organizer including the title of the presentation and a copy of scientific conferences or seminar programs (including the candidate’s presentation),
* a copy of the certificate confirming participation in a research project (e.g. NCN, NCBiR, NPRH) signed by the project manager, including the project’s number, the candidate’s role in the project, and the time (years) of the project’s implementation,
* a copy of the decision granting awards or scholarships by a competent minister of higher education and science, a copy of the certificate confirming other science/research scholarships awarded to the candidate by an institution other than their alma mater, a copy of the diploma of graduation with distinction,
* a copy of the certificate confirming granted awards or honours for the diploma thesis or for an activity related to scientific research,
* a copy of the certificate confirming candidate’s travel scholarships, scientific internships (not covered by the study program), participation in the program of a school organized by a higher education school or research institution,
* a copy of the currently valid certificate confirming proficiency in given modern foreign language at least at the C1 level, (the list of certificates taken into account in the competition procedure is contained in Annex 2 to the Regulation of the Prime Minister of 16 December 2009 on the qualification procedures in the civil service, i.e. Journal of Laws of 2021, item 14),
* a copy of the certificate confirming the candidate’s activity in a student research group, signed by its coordinator (academic teacher),
* a copy of the certificate confirming the candidate’s activity in popularization of science (e.g. lectures, talks, publications),
* a copy of the document confirming the candidate’s participation in workshops or trainings that enhance skills and competences gained by the candidate in their education process,
* a copy of the certificate confirming the candidate’s participation in research projects other than those listed in point b, second indent, specifying the candidate’s role and the duration of their participation.
1. an application endorsed by the Rector’s Proxy for Students and Doctoral Students with Special Educational Needs if the candidate has a confirmed disability level and wishes to use alternative methods for knowledge verification in the competition procedure due to the nature of their disability. This application must be submitted to the Admission Commission not later than 5 days before the qualification interview.

**Individual result of the competition procedure**

The Admission Commission has determined the individual result of the competition procedure. As a result of the competition procedure, the Commission found that the candidate ……………………………… received a total of ………………. points, including …………….. points awarded in the qualification interview.

*Legible signature of the Commission Chairman*

*……………………………………………………………………….*